2014.6.17 OECD Global Forum EPR Tokyo

# Insights from Asia Pacific Region - the example of Japan-

National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Japan Tomohiro TASAKI



# Contents

- Packaging Recycling Act
- Home Appliance Recycling Act (Large WEEE)
- Summary of lessons from Japan
- References



# Japanese Packaging Recycling Act

#### Achievements

- 1. Participation of many municipalities and citizens in recycling
- 2. Increased recycling capacity
- 3. Development of recycling technologies
- 4. Increased recycling
- 5. Promoted waste prevention and DfE

#### Issues

- 1. High cost
- 2. Shared responsibility encourages partial optimization (?)
- 3. No collection target (A lack of shared vision)
- 4. Competition between EPR schemes and the market
- 5. Insufficient waste prevention (?)





### Achievement 1

Increased participation of municipalities in recycling of packaging waste



### Achievements 2 and 4

2: Increased capacity of recycling facilities

	(10 <sup>3</sup> ton)			Eactor for	
	FY 1997	FY 2003	FY 2014	G	SUCCESS:
Total of the four items	548	1,826	2,528	PE	A clear sign
PET bottles	18	292	419	Pla	to the market
Plastic packaging	-	591	1,346	Pape	r packaging

### 4: Increased recycling of packaging wastes

			(10 <sup>3</sup> ton)
	FY 1997	FY 2003	FY 2011
Total of all packaging	732	2,150	2,783
Plastic packaging	-	385	686



### Achievement 5

		Voluntary target	<b>Reduction</b> Cumulative	
Waste		for 2015 (relative to 2004)	rate in 2011	reduction from 2006
prevention by	Glass bottles	2.8% reduction for average weight per a bottle	2.0%	117
producers	PET bottles	10% reduction for designated PET bottles	10.5%	239
	Paper packaging	8% reduction in total	6.9%	504
Factor for success: 1) CSR (voluntary)? 2) Financial responsibility?aging		13% reduction	10.4%	52.5
		4% reduction for average weight per a 4.7% bottle		95
	Aluminum cans	3% reduction for average weight per a bottle	3.0%	42.5
	Paper cartons	3% reduction for 500mL paper cartons	0.3%	41ton
	Cardboard	1.5% reduction for weight per 1m <sup>2</sup>	2.5%	675



Source: The 1st joint meeting of Industrial Structure Council and Central Environment Council for Packaging 7 Recycling Act (2013.9.13) A distributed document.



# The contributory commission system for cost reduction





Source: Yamakawa (2014); The figures of the contribution are from a website of the Japan Containers and Packaging Recycling Association (accessed 2014.2.9)

#### Quality of waste plastic packaging from municipalities after the introduction of the contributory commission system





	A rank	B rank	D rank
Packaging content	>90%	85% -90%	<85%





The 1st joint meeting of Industrial Structure Council and Central Environment Council for Packaging Recycling Act (2013.9.13) A distributed document; Photos are from Tasaki (2008)



# Japanese Large WEEE Recycling Act

#### Achievements

- 1. Difficult-to-treat waste ended up in the hands of producers
- 2. Increased amount of recycling
- 3. Development of recycling technologies
- 4. Promoted dissemination of Information for DfE and personnel exchange

#### Issues

- 1. Inconvenient systems for consumers
- 2. Improper treatment in non-producer routes and insufficient coverage

Applying ADF has been discussed, ....

Setting a collection target is being discussed





## Achievement 2 Increased recycling rate





Source: AEHA (2014) Environmentally Conscious Design for Electric Home Appliances in Japan

### Achievement 3: R&D for separation of plastics





Source: Mitsubishi Electric Corporation HP (Accessed 2014.6.9) Green Cycle Systems (http://www.mitsubishielectric.com/company/environment/ecotopics/plastic\_sp/greencycle/index.html)

# Achievement 3: R&D for closed-loop recycling of plastics used for large WEEE





Source: AEHA (2014) Environmentally Conscious Design for Electric Home Appliances in Japan



## Achievement 4: Promoted DfE of large WEEE

#### Efforts by manufacturers



**Recycling workshop** 



#### Designers get hands-on training in dismantling





Source: AEHA (2014) Environmentally Conscious Design for Electric Home Appliances in Japan



# Lessons learned from Japan (1)

#### Needs to fill the gap between different perceptions on EPR

- Terminology in Japanese: "seki-nin" = responsibility, liability
  - Be cautious when talking in other languages
- To make distinction between ideal mechanisms and real mechanisms of EPR policies
- Financial responsibility may drive stakeholders to cost reduction only
  - To have multiple options available and/or to have incentives for the other actions should come with financial responsibility.
- Physical responsibility is useful to gain information in waste (downstream) management.
- To reconsider all stakeholder's capabilities
  - Who has higher capability in collection?



# Lessons learned from Japan (2)

- A shared goal is necessary for shared responsibility ...
  - Shared responsibility  $\neq$  allocation of responsibilities
  - to avoid partial optimization and stakeholders' indifference to the overall system
  - so that stakeholders can collaborate in a proper way
- **To identify priority aims in a country** 
  - EPR-based recycling policy = EPR + waste management policy + industrial policy · · ·
  - Guiding principles on EPR need to be adjusted with other principles.
- Competition between EPR schemes and the market
  - EPR policies for waste  $\neq$  EPR policies for recyclables



### References for EPR policies in Japan

□ Three case studies (2014)

- Packaging (Yamakawa)
- Large WEEE (Hotta, Santo, and Tasaki)

 Battery (compact rechargeable) (Tasaki) (<u>http://www.oecd.org/environment/waste/gfenv-</u> <u>extendedproducerresponsibility-june2014.htm</u>)

Hosoda (2004) in Economic Aspects of Extended Producer Responsibility, OECD publication.

METI (2010) Towards a 3R-Oriented, Sustainable Society: Legislation and Trends 2010. 96p. (<u>http://www.meti.go.jp/policy/recycle/main/english/pamphlets/index.html</u>)